

Use of operator algebras in the analysis of measures from wavelets and iterated function systems

Palle E. T. Jorgensen*
 Department of Mathematics
 University of Iowa
 Iowa City, Iowa 52242

February 2, 2008

Abstract

In this paper, we show how a class of operators used in the analysis of measures from wavelets and iterated function systems may be understood from a special family of representations of Cuntz algebras. Let (X, d) be a compact metric space, and let an iterated function system (IFS) be given on X , i.e., a finite set of continuous maps $\sigma_i: X \rightarrow X$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$. The maps σ_i transform the measures μ on X into new measures μ_i . If the diameter of $\sigma_{i_1} \circ \dots \circ \sigma_{i_k}(X)$ tends to zero as $k \rightarrow \infty$, and if $p_i > 0$ satisfies $\sum_i p_i = 1$, then it is known that there is a unique Borel probability measure μ on X such that

$$\mu = \sum_i p_i \mu_i \quad (0.1)$$

In this paper, we consider the case when the p_i s are replaced with a certain system of sesquilinear functionals. This allows us to study the variable coefficient case of (0.1), and moreover to understand the analog of (0.1) which is needed in the theory of wavelets.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we show how a class of operators used in the analysis of measures from wavelets and iterated function systems may be understood from a special family of representations of Cuntz algebras. Since the paper cuts across several specialized disciplines, we have included more background material; some of which may be known to some specialists, but not to others. Hence parts of our paper has the flavor of a tutorial, while others contain new research; for example,

*Work supported in part by the NSF.

Theorem 2.2 introduces projection valued measures into the analysis of iterated function systems (IFS), and this work expands on [7]. This approach seems entirely natural in the context of IFSs, and we hope is of interest in operator theory. The second result is Corollary 2.5 which motivates this approach by its use in approximation theory.

The main result is Theorem 3.2 which isolates the representations of the Cuntz algebras under consideration, and explains their significance for the problem at hand.

Theorem 2.2 is followed by a detailed discussion of two examples which may be useful, especially to the novice.

A finite system of continuous functions $\sigma_i: X \rightarrow X$ in a compact metric space X is said to be an *iterated function system* (IFS) if there is a mapping $\sigma: X \rightarrow X$, onto X , such that

$$\sigma \circ \sigma_i = id_X \quad (1.1)$$

If there is a constant $0 < c < 1$ such that

$$d(\sigma_i(x), \sigma_i(y)) \leq c d(x, y), \quad x, y \in X, \quad (1.2)$$

then we say that the IFS is *contractive*. In that case, there is, for every configuration $p_i > 0$, $\sum_i p_i = 1$, a unique Borel probability measure μ , $\mu = \mu_{(p)}$ on X such that

$$\mu = \sum p_i \mu \circ \sigma_i^{-1}. \quad (1.3)$$

This follows from a theorem of Hutchinson [7]. The mappings σ_i might be defined initially on some Euclidean space E . If the contractivity (1.2) is assumed, then there is a unique compact subset $X \subset E$ such that

$$X = \bigcup_i \sigma_i(X), \quad (1.4)$$

and this set X is the support of μ .

Example 1.1 Let $E = \mathbb{R}$, $\sigma_0(x) = \frac{x}{3}$, $\sigma_1 = \frac{x+2}{3}$, $p_0 = p_1 = \frac{1}{2}$. In this case, X is the familiar middle-third Cantor set, and μ is the Cantor measure supported in X with Hausdorff dimension $d = \frac{\ln 2}{\ln 3}$. But at the same time X may be identified with the compact Cartesian product $X \cong \prod \mathbb{Z}_2 = \mathbb{Z}_2^{\mathbb{N}}$, where $\mathbb{Z}_2 = \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} = \{0, 1\}$, and $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, and μ is the infinite product measure on $\mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \dots$ with weights $(\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$ on each factor.

Example 1.2 Let $E = \mathbb{R}$, $\sigma_0(x) = \frac{x}{2}$, $\sigma_1(x) = \frac{x+1}{2}$, $p_0 = p_1 = \frac{1}{2}$. In this case, $X = [0, 1]$, i.e., the compact unit interval, and μ is the restriction to $[0, 1]$ of the usual Lebesgue measure dt on $\mathbb{R}$.

Let $\mathbb{T} = \mathbb{R}/2\pi\mathbb{Z} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid |z| = 1\}$ be the usual torus. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $N \geq 2$, and let $m_i: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$ be a system of L^∞ -functions such that the $N \times N$ matrix

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \left(m_j \left(z e^{i2\pi \frac{k}{N}} \right) \right)_{j,k=0}^{N-1}, \quad z \in \mathbb{T} \quad (1.5)$$

is unitary. Set

$$S_j f(z) = m_j(z) f(z^N) \quad , z \in \mathbb{T}, f \in L^2(\mathbb{T}). \quad (1.6)$$

Then it is well known [10] that the operators S_j satisfy the following two relations,

$$S_j^* S_k = \delta_{j,k} I \quad (1.7)$$

$$\sum_j S_j S_j^* = I \quad (1.8)$$

where I denotes the identity operator in the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{T})$. The converse implication also holds, see [3]. Systems of isometries satisfying (1.7) – (1.8) are called *representations of the Cuntz algebra* $\mathcal{O}_N$, see [5], and the particular representations (1.6) are well known to correspond to multiresolution wavelets; the functions m_j are denoted wavelet filters. These same functions are used in subband filters in signal processing, see [3].

Remark 1.3 The relations (1.7)–(1.8) may be viewed abstractly, and they are fundamental both in operator algebra theory, in operator theory, and in applications. They are called the Cuntz relations (see [5]) after J. Cuntz. J. Cuntz showed that, for each n they generate a simple C^* -algebra, the Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_n$. These C^* -algebras are of interest, as are their representations. A recent paper which highlights their significance is [6], and we resume our discussion of $\mathcal{O}_n$ and its representations in Section 3 below.

It is easy to see that there is a unique Borel measure P on $[0, 1)$ taking values in the orthogonal projections of $\mathcal{H}$ such that

$$P\left(\left[\frac{i_1}{N} + \cdots + \frac{i_k}{N^k}, \frac{i_1}{N} + \cdots + \frac{i_k}{N^k} + \frac{1}{N^k}\right)\right) = S_{i_1} \cdots S_{i_k} S_{i_k}^* \cdots S_{i_1}^*. \quad (1.9)$$

Let

$$e_n(z) = z^n, \quad z \in \mathbb{T}, n \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (1.10)$$

Formula (1.9) shows that a certain projection valued measure may be specified on N -adic intervals by operator-multinomials defined directly from representations of the Cuntz relations (1.7)–(1.8). These multi-nomials in turn have their multi-indices specified by the "digital" representation of the N -adic interval under discussion. An inspection of the operators on the right hand side of (1.9), using Cuntz's relations reveals that they are commuting projections. The abelian algebra that they generate will play a role in both Theorems 2.2 and 3.2 below. It can also be appreciated in the concrete form it takes in Examples 1.4 and 1.5 below.

Their relevance to the standard Fourier basis (1.10) will be spelled out in detail in Theorem 2.2 below.

Example 1.4 Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $N \geq 2$, and set $m_j(z) = z^j$, $0 \leq j < N$. Then (1.5) is satisfied, and we have

$$\begin{cases} S_0^* e_0 = e_0 \\ S_j^* e_0 = 0 \end{cases}, \quad 0 < j < N.$$

It follows easily that the corresponding measure

$$\mu_0(\cdot) = \|P(\cdot)e_0\|^2 \quad (1.11)$$

on $[0, 1)$ is the Dirac measure δ_0 at $x = 0$, i.e.,

$$\delta_0(E) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \in E \\ 0 & \text{if } 0 \notin E \end{cases}. \quad (1.12)$$

Here $P(\cdot)$ refers to the projection valued measure determined by (1.9) when the representation of $\mathcal{O}_N$ is specified by the system $m_j = e_j$, $0 \leq j < N$.

Example 1.5 Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $N \geq 2$, and set

$$m_j(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} e^{i2\pi \frac{jk}{N}} z^k. \quad (1.13)$$

Again the condition (1.5) is satisfied, and one checks that

$$S_j^* e_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} e_0, \quad 0 \leq j < N; \quad (1.14)$$

and now the measure $\mu_0(\cdot) = \|P(\cdot)e_0\|^2$ on $[0, 1)$ is the restriction to $[0, 1)$ of the Lebesgue measure on $\mathbb{R}$. It is well known that the wavelet corresponding to (1.13) is the familiar Haar wavelet corresponding to N -adic subdivision, see [3]. It is also known that generally, for wavelets other than the Haar systems, the corresponding representations (1.6) of $\mathcal{O}_N$ does not admit a simultaneous eigenvector f , i.e., there is no solution $f \in \mathcal{H} \setminus \{0\}$, $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{C}$ to the joint eigenvalue problem

$$S_j^* f = \lambda_j f, \quad 0 \leq j < N. \quad (1.15)$$

Proposition 1.6 Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $N \geq 2$, and let $(S_j)_{0 \leq j < N}$ be a representation of $\mathcal{O}_N$ on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Suppose there is a solution $f \in \mathcal{H}$, $\|f\| = 1$, to the eigenvalue problem (1.15) for some $\lambda_j \in \mathbb{C}$. Then $\sum |\lambda_j|^2 = 1$, and the measure $\mu = \|P(\cdot)f\|^2$ satisfies

$$\mu = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} |\lambda_j|^2 \mu \circ \sigma_j^{-1} \quad (1.16)$$

where $\sigma_j(x) = \frac{x+j}{N}$, $\mu \circ \sigma_j^{-1}(E) = \mu(\sigma_j^{-1}(E))$ for Borel sets $E \subset [0, 1)$, and $\sigma_j^{-1}(E) = \{x \mid \sigma_j(x) \in E\}$.

Proof. The reader may prove the proposition directly from the definitions, but the conclusion may also be obtained as a special case of the theorem in the next section. ■

2 Measures And Iterated Function Systems

Let (X, d) be a compact metric space, and let $(\sigma_j)_{0 \leq j < N}$ be an N -adic iterated function system (IFS). We say that the system is *complete* if

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \text{diameter } (\sigma_{i_1} \circ \cdots \circ \sigma_{i_k}(X)) = 0, \quad (2.1)$$

We say that the IFS is *non-overlapping* if for each k the sets

$$A_k(i_1, \dots, i_k) := \sigma_{i_1} \circ \cdots \circ \sigma_{i_k}(X) \quad (2.2)$$

are disjoint, i.e., for every k , the sets $A_k(i_1, \dots, i_k)$ are mutually disjoint for different multi-indices, i.e., different points in

$$\underbrace{\mathbb{Z}_N \times \cdots \times \mathbb{Z}_N}_{k\text{-times}}$$

where $\mathbb{Z}_N := \{0, 1, \dots, N-1\}$.

Remark 2.1 It is immediate that, if a given IFS $(\sigma_j)_{0 \leq j < N}$ arises as a system of *distinct* branches of the inverse of a single mapping $\sigma: X \rightarrow X$, i.e., if $\sigma(\sigma_i(x)) = x$ for $x \in X$, and $0 \leq i < N$, then the partition system $\sigma_{i_1} \circ \cdots \circ \sigma_{i_k}(X)$ is non-overlapping.

Theorem 2.2 Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $N \geq 2$, and a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$. Let $(\sigma_j)_{0 \leq j < N}$ be an IFS which is complete and non-overlapping. Then there is a unique projection valued measure P defined on the Borel subsets of X such that

$$P(A_k(i_1, \dots, i_k)) = S_{i_1} \cdots S_{i_k} S_{i_k}^* \cdots S_{i_1}^*. \quad (2.3)$$

This measure satisfies:

- (a) $P(E) = P(E)^* = P(E)^2$, $E \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ = the Borel subsets of X .
- (b) $\int_X dP(x) = I_{\mathcal{H}}$
- (c) $P(E)P(F) = 0$ if $E, F \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ and $E \cap F = \emptyset$.
- (d) $\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} S_j P(\sigma_j^{-1}(E)) S_j^* = P(E)$, $E \in \mathcal{B}(X)$.

It follows in particular that, for every $f \in \mathcal{H}$, the measure $\mu_f(\cdot) := \|P(\cdot)f\|^2$ satisfies

$$\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \mu_{S_j^* f \circ \sigma_j^{-1}} = \mu_f, \quad (2.4)$$

or equivalently

$$\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} \int_X \psi \circ \sigma_j d\mu_{S_j^* f} = \int_X \psi d\mu_f \quad (2.5)$$

for all bounded Borel functions ψ on X .

Remark 2.3 Note that the properties (a), (b), and (c) are part of the definition of a projection valued measure, and they are automatic from the Cuntz relations; so the essential conclusion in the theorem is (d). This is the property which generalizes the more familiar axiom (1.3) of Hutchinson.

Corollary 2.4 Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $N \geq 2$, be given, and consider a representation $(S_j)_{0 \leq j < N}$ of $\mathcal{O}_N$, and an associated IFS which is complete and non-overlapping. Let $P(\cdot)$ be the corresponding projection valued measure, i.e.,

$$P(\sigma_{i_1} \circ \cdots \circ \sigma_{i_k}(X)) = S_{i_1} \cdots S_{i_k} S_{i_k}^* \cdots S_{i_1}^*. \quad (2.6)$$

For $f \in \mathcal{H}$, $\|f\| = 1$, set $\mu_f(\cdot) := \|P(\cdot)f\|^2$. Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be the abelian C^* -algebra generated by the projections $S_{i_1} \cdots S_{i_k} S_{i_k}^* \cdots S_{i_1}^*$ and let $\mathcal{H}_f$ be the closure of $\mathfrak{A}f$. Then there is a unique isometry $V_f: L^2(\mu_f) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_f$ of $L^2(\mu_f)$ onto $\mathcal{H}_f$ such that

$$V_f(1) = f, \quad (2.7)$$

and

$$V_f M_{\chi_{A_k(i)}} V_f^* = S_{i_1} \cdots S_{i_k} S_{i_k}^* \cdots S_{i_1}^* \quad (2.8)$$

where $M_{\chi_{A_k(i)}}$ is the operator which multiplies by the indicator function of

$$A_k(i) := \sigma_{i_1} \circ \cdots \circ \sigma_{i_k}(X). \quad (2.9)$$

Proof. (Theorem 2.2) We refer to the paper [9] for a more complete discussion of some technical points. With the assumptions, we note that for every k , and every multi-index $i = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$ we have an abelian algebra of functions $\mathcal{F}_k$ spanned by the indicator functions $\chi_{A_k(i)}$ where $A_k(i) := \sigma_{i_1} \circ \cdots \circ \sigma_{i_k}(X)$. Since, for every k , we have the non-overlapping unions

$$\bigcup_i A_{k+1}(i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k, i) = A_k(i_1, \dots, i_k), \quad (2.10)$$

there is a natural embedding $\mathcal{F}_k \subset \mathcal{F}_{k+1}$. We wish to define the projection valued measure P as an operator valued map on functions on X in such a way that $\int_X \chi_{A_k(i)} dP = S_{i_1} \cdots S_{i_k} S_{i_k}^* \cdots S_{i_1}^*$. This is possible since the projections $S_{i_1} \cdots S_{i_k} S_{i_k}^* \cdots S_{i_1}^*$ are mutually orthogonal when k is fixed, and $(i_1, \dots, i_k)$ varies over $(\mathbb{Z}_N)^k$. In view of the inclusions

$$\mathcal{F}_k \subset \mathcal{F}_{k+1}, \quad (2.11)$$

it follows that $\bigcup_k \mathcal{F}_k$ is an algebra of functions on X . Since the N -adic subdivision system $\{A_k(i) \mid k \in \mathbb{N}, i \in (\mathbb{Z}_N)^k\}$ is complete, it follows that every continuous function on X is the uniform limit of a sequence of functions in $\bigcup_k \mathcal{F}_k$. Using now a standard extension procedure for measures, we conclude that the projection valued measure $P(\cdot)$ exists, and that it has the properties listed in the theorem. The reader is referred to [11] for additional details on the extension from $\bigcup_k \mathcal{F}_k$ to the Borel function on X . ■

We now turn to the proof of the Corollary, and then resume the proof of the theorem after the proof of the corollary.

Proof of Corollary 2.4. Let the systems (S_j) and (σ_j) be as in the statement of the theorem. To define $V_f: L^2(\mu_f) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_f$, we set

$$V_f(1) = f, \text{ and } V_f \chi_{A_k(i)} = S_{i_1} \cdots S_{i_k} S_{i_k}^* \cdots S_{i_1}^* f. \quad (2.12)$$

It is clear from the theorem that V_f defined this way extends to an isometry of $L^2(\mu_f)$ onto $\mathcal{H}_f$, and a direct verification reveals that the covariance relation (2.8) is satisfied.

It remains to prove (d) in theorem 2.2, or equivalently to prove (2.4) for every $f \in \mathcal{H}$. The argument is based on the same approximation procedure as we used above, starting with the algebra $\bigcup_k \mathcal{F}_k$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_X \chi_{A_k(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)}(\sigma_i(x)) d\mu_{S_i^* f}(x) \\ &= \delta_{i, \alpha_1} \int_X \chi_{A_k(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)}(x) d\mu_{S_i^* f}(x) \\ &= \delta_{i, \alpha_1} \|S_{\alpha_k}^* \cdots S_{\alpha_2}^* S_i^* f\|^2 \\ &= \delta_{i, \alpha_1} \int_X \chi_{A_k(\alpha)}(x) d\mu_f(x). \end{aligned}$$

Summing over i , we get

$$\sum_i \int_X \chi_{A_k(\alpha)} \circ \sigma_i d\mu_{S_i^* f} = \int_X \chi_{A_k(\alpha)} d\mu_f = \|S_{\alpha_k}^* \cdots S_{\alpha_1}^* f\|^2.$$

The desired identity (2.5) now follows by yet another application of the standard approximation argument which was used in the proof of the first part of the theorem. ■

The simplest subdivision system is the one where the subdivisions are given by the N -adic fractions $\frac{\alpha_1}{N} + \frac{\alpha_2}{N^2} + \cdots + \frac{\alpha_k}{N^k}$ where $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{Z}_N = \{0, 1, \dots, N-1\}$. Setting $\sigma_j(x) = \frac{x+j}{N}$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}_N$, we note that

$$\sigma_{\alpha_1} \circ \cdots \circ \sigma_{\alpha_k}([0, 1)) = \left[\frac{\alpha_1}{N} + \cdots + \frac{\alpha_k}{N^k}, \frac{\alpha_1}{N} + \cdots + \frac{\alpha_k}{N^k} + \frac{1}{N^k} \right).$$

As a result both the projection valued measure $P(\cdot)$ and the individual measures $\mu_f(\cdot) = \|P(\cdot)f\|^2$ are defined on the Borel subsets of $[0, 1)$. If $\hat{P}(t) := \int_0^1 e^{itx} dP(x)$, then $\langle f | \hat{P}(t)f \rangle = \hat{\mu}_f(t)$ is the usual Fourier transform of the measure μ_f for $f \in \mathcal{H}$.

Moreover, by the Spectral theorem [11], there is a selfadjoint operator D with spectrum contained in $[0, 1]$ such that

$$\hat{P}(t) = e^{itD},$$

see [11]. In fact, the spectrum of D is equal to the support of the projection valued measure $P(\cdot)$.

Corollary 2.5 *Suppose the N -adic partition system used in the theorem is given by the N -adic fractions as in 2.10. Then the Fourier transform*

$$\hat{\mu}_f(t) = \int_0^1 e^{itx} d\mu_f(x) \quad , t \in \mathbb{R} \quad (2.13)$$

of the measure $\mu_f(\cdot) = \|P(\cdot)f\|^2$ satisfies

$$\hat{\mu}_f(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} e^{i \frac{kt}{N}} \widehat{\mu_{S_k^* f}}(t/N). \quad (2.14)$$

Proof. With the N -adic subdivisions of the unit interval, the maps σ_k are $\sigma_k(x) = \frac{x+k}{N}$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}_N = \{0, 1, \dots, N-1\}$. Setting $\psi_t(x) = e^{itx}$ in (2.4), the desired result (2.14) follows immediately. ■

In the next result we show that for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there is an approximation formula for the Fourier transform $\hat{\mu}_f$ of the measure $\mu_f(\cdot) = \|P(\cdot)f\|^2$ involving the numbers $\|S_{\alpha_k}^* \cdots S_{\alpha_1}^* f\|^2$ as the multi-index $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)$ ranges over $(\mathbb{Z}_N)^k$.

Corollary 2.6 *Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $N \geq 2$ be given. Let $(S_j)_{0 \leq j < N}$ be a representation of $\mathcal{O}_N$ on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, and let $P(\cdot)$ be the corresponding projection-valued measure defined on $\mathcal{B}([0, 1])$. Let $f \in \mathcal{H}$, $\|f\| = 1$, and set $\mu_f(\cdot) = \|P(\cdot)f\|^2$. Then, for every k , we have the approximation*

$$\left| \hat{\mu}_f(t) - \sum_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k} e^{it(\frac{\alpha_1}{N} + \dots + \frac{\alpha_k}{N^k})} \|S_{\alpha}^* f\|^2 \right| \leq |t| N^{-k} \quad (2.15)$$

where the summation is over multi-indices from $(\mathbb{Z}_N)^k$, and $S_{\alpha}^ := S_{\alpha_k}^* \cdots S_{\alpha_1}^*$.*

Proof. A k -fold iteration of formula (2.14) from the previous corollary yields,

$$\hat{\mu}_f(t) = \sum_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k} e^{it(\frac{\alpha_1}{N} + \dots + \frac{\alpha_k}{N^k})} \widehat{\mu_{S_{\alpha}^* f}}(t/N^k)$$

and

$$\widehat{\mu_{S_{\alpha}^* f}}(t/N^k) - \|S_{\alpha}^* f\|^2 = \int_0^1 (e^{itN^{-k}x} - 1) d\mu_{S_{\alpha}^* f}(x);$$

and therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| \hat{\mu}_{S_{\alpha}^* f}(tN^{-k}) - \|S_{\alpha}^* f\|^2 \right| \\ & \leq |t| N^{-k} \int_0^1 x d\mu_{S_{\alpha}^* f}(x) \\ & \leq |t| N^{-k} \int_0^1 d\mu_{S_{\alpha}^* f}(x) \\ & = |t| N^{-k} \|S_{\alpha}^* f\|^2. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that the difference on the left-hand side in (2.15) is estimated above in absolute value by

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k} \left| e^{it(\frac{\alpha_1}{N} + \dots + \frac{\alpha_k}{N^k})} \right| |t| N^{-k} \|S_\alpha^* f\|^2 \\
&= |t| N^{-k} \sum_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k} \|S_\alpha^* f\|^2 \\
&= |t| N^{-k} \left\langle f \mid \sum_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k} S_\alpha S_\alpha^* f \right\rangle \\
&= |t| N^{-k} \langle f \mid f \rangle \\
&= |t| N^{-k} \|f\|^2 \\
&= |t| N^{-k}.
\end{aligned}$$

■

Definition 2.7 Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and set

$$x_k(\alpha) := \frac{\alpha_1}{N} + \dots + \frac{\alpha_k}{N^k} \text{ for } \alpha_i \in \{0, 1, \dots, N-1\}. \quad (2.16)$$

Let (S_i) and (σ_i) be as in Corollary 2.5, and let $f \in \mathcal{H}$, $\|f\| = 1$. We set

$$\mu_f^{(k)} = \sum_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k} \|S_\alpha^* f\|^2 \delta_{x_k(\alpha)}. \quad (2.17)$$

These measures form the sequence of measures which we use in the Riemann sum approximation of Corollary 2.6; and we are still viewing the measures μ_f and $\mu_f^{(k)}$ as measures on the unit-interval $[0, 1)$.

Corollary 2.8 Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $N \geq 2$. Let (S_i) and (σ_j) be as in corollary 2.5, i.e., (S_i) is in $\text{Rep}(\mathcal{O}_N, \mathcal{H})$ for some Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$, and $\sigma_j(x) = \frac{x+j}{N}$ for $x \in [0, 1)$ and $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, N-1\}$. Let ψ be a continuous function on $[0, 1)$, and let $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\left| \int_0^1 \psi d\mu_f - \int_0^1 \psi d\mu_f^{(k)} \right| \leq N^{-k} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |t\widehat{\psi}(t)| dt \quad (2.18)$$

where

$$\widehat{\psi}(t) = \int_0^1 \psi(x) e^{-itx} dx \quad (2.19)$$

is the usual Fourier transform; and we are assuming further that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} |t\widehat{\psi}(t)| dt < \infty.$$

Proof. By the Fourier inversion formula, $\psi(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{\psi}(t) e^{itx} dt$; and we get the following formula by a change of variables, and by the use of Fubini's theorem:

$$\int \psi d\mu_f - \int \psi d\mu_f^{(k)} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \widehat{\psi}(t) \left(\widehat{\mu}_f(t) - \widehat{\mu}_f^{(k)}(t) \right) dt. \quad (2.20)$$

Since

$$\widehat{\mu}_f^{(k)}(t) = \sum_{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k} e^{itx_k(\alpha)} \|S_{\alpha}^* f\|^2, \quad (2.21)$$

the estimate (2.18) from Corollary 2.5 applies. An estimation of the differences in (2.20) now yields:

$$\left| \int \psi d\mu_f - \int \psi d\mu_f^{(k)} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\widehat{\psi}(t)| |t| \cdot N^{-k} dt$$

which is the desired result. ■

Remark 2.9 In general, a sequence of probability measures on a compact Hausdorff space X , (μ_k) is said to *converge weakly* to the limit μ if

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_X \psi d\mu_k = \int_X \psi d\mu \text{ for all } \psi \in C(X). \quad (2.22)$$

However, the conclusion of Corollary 2.10 for the convergence $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \mu_f^{(k)} = \mu_f$ is in fact stronger than weak convergence as we will show. The notion of weak convergence of measures is significant in probability theory, see e.g., [2].

Since the measures μ_f and $\mu_f^{(k)}$ are defined on $\mathcal{B}([0, 1])$, the corresponding distribution functions F_f and $F_f^{(k)}$ are defined for $x \in [0, 1)$ as follows

$$F_f(x) = \mu_f([0, x]) \text{ and } F_f^{(k)}(x) = \mu_f^{(k)}([0, x]). \quad (2.23)$$

Corollary 2.10 *Let (S_i) and (σ_i) be as in Corollary 2.8. Let $f \in \mathcal{H}$, $\|f\| = 1$, be given, and let μ_f resp., $\mu_f^{(k)}$ be the corresponding measures, with distribution functions F_f and $F_f^{(k)}$, respectively. Then*

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} F_f^{(k)}(x) = F_f(x). \quad (2.24)$$

Proof. We already proved that the sequence of measures $\mu_f^{(k)}$ converges weakly to μ_f as $k \rightarrow \infty$. Furthermore, it is known that weak convergence $\mu_f^{(k)} \rightarrow \mu_f$ implies that (2.24) holds whenever x is a point of continuity for F_f , see [2, Theorem 2.3, p.5]. (For the case of the wavelet representations, it is known that every x is a point of continuity, but Example 1.4 shows that the measures μ_f are not continuous in general.) The argument from the proof of Corollary 2.5 shows

that, in general, the points of discontinuity of $F_f(\cdot)$ must lie in the set (2.16) of N -adic fractions. Using Theorem 2.2 and formula (2.17), we conclude that if $x_k(\alpha)$ is a point of discontinuity of $F_f(\cdot)$, then $\left| F_f^{(k+n)}(x_k(\alpha)) - F_f(x_k(\alpha)) \right| \leq N^{-k-n}$, and therefore

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} F_f^{(k+n)}(x_k(\alpha)) = F_f(x_k(\alpha))$$

which is the desired conclusion, see (2.24). ■

3 The Measures μ_f

While we stress projection valued measures $P(\cdot)$ in Section 2, it is the following family of scalar measures $\{\mu_f\}$ which is used in the theory of decomposition, and in the construction of wavelet packet-bases [4]. Recall that every projection valued measure $P(\cdot)$ in a Hilbert space H is determined by the following family

$$\left\{ \mu_f(E) = \|P(E)f\|^2, f \in H, \|f\| = 1 \right\}$$

of scalar measures.

We now turn to a detailed study of these measures μ_f .

In the previous section, we showed that the decomposition theory for representations of the Cuntz algebra $\mathcal{O}_N$ may be analyzed by the use of projection valued measures on a class of iterated function systems (IFS). It is known that there is a simple C^* -algebra $\mathcal{O}_N$ for each $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $N \geq 2$, such that the representations of $\mathcal{O}_N$ are in one-to-one correspondence with systems of isometries (S_i) which satisfy the two relations (1.7)–(1.8). The C^* -algebra $\mathcal{O}_N$ is defined abstractly on N generators s_i which satisfy

$$\sum_{i=0}^{N-1} s_i s_i^* = 1 \text{ and } s_i^* s_j = \delta_{i,j} 1. \quad (3.1)$$

A representation ρ of $\mathcal{O}_N$ on a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ is a $*$ -homomorphism from $\mathcal{O}_N$ into $B(\mathcal{H})$ = the algebra of all bounded operators on $\mathcal{H}$. The set of representations acting on $\mathcal{H}$ is denoted $\text{Rep}(\mathcal{O}_N, \mathcal{H})$. The connection between ρ and the corresponding (S_i) -system is fixed by $\rho(s_i) = S_i$. While the subalgebra $\mathcal{C}$ in $\mathcal{O}_N$ generated by the monomials $s_{i_j} \cdots s_{i_k} s_{i_k}^* \cdots s_{i_1}^*$ is maximal abelian in $\mathcal{O}_N$, the von Neumann algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ generated by $\rho(\mathcal{C})$ may not be maximally abelian in $B(\mathcal{H})$. Whether it is, or not, depends on the representation. It is known to be maximally abelian if the operators $S_i = \rho(s_i)$ are given by (1.6), and if the functions m_i satisfy the usual subband conditions from wavelet theory. For details, see [8] and [3]. For these representations, $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{T})$; and the representations define wavelets

$$\psi_{i,j,k}(x) = N^{\frac{j}{2}} \psi_i(N^j x - k), i = 1, \dots, N-1, j, k \in \mathbb{Z} \quad (3.2)$$

in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$. Such wavelets are specified by the functions $\varphi, \psi_1, \dots, \psi_{N-1} \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and the following relations:

$$\begin{aligned}\sqrt{N}\hat{\varphi}(Nt) &= m_0(t) \hat{\varphi}(t) \\ \sqrt{N}\hat{\psi}_i(Nt) &= m_i(t) \hat{\varphi}(t) \text{ for } 0 < i < N, \text{ and } t \in \mathbb{R}.\end{aligned}$$

These representations ρ are called *wavelet representations*. The assertion is that, if $\mathfrak{A}$ is defined by a wavelet representation, then $\mathfrak{A}$ is maximally abelian in $B(L^2(\mathbb{T}))$. The operators commuting with $\mathfrak{A}$ are denoted $\mathfrak{A}'$, and it is easy to see that $\mathfrak{A}$ is maximally abelian if and only if $\mathfrak{A}'$ is abelian. The prime stands for commutant. An abelian von Neumann algebra $\mathfrak{A} \subset B(\mathcal{H})$ is said to have a cyclic vector f if the closure of $\mathfrak{A}f$ is $\mathcal{H}$. For $f \in \mathcal{H}$, the closure of $\mathfrak{A}f$ is denoted $\mathcal{H}_f$. It is known that $\mathfrak{A}$ has a cyclic vector if and only if it is maximally abelian. Clearly, if f is a cyclic vector, then the measure $\mu_f(\cdot) = \|P(\cdot)f\|^2$ determines the other measures $\{\mu_g \mid g \in \mathcal{H}\}$.

Lemma 3.1 *Let $\mathfrak{A} \subset B(\mathcal{H})$ be an abelian C^* -algebra, and let $\rho: C(X) \cong \mathfrak{A}$ be the Gelfand representation, X a compact Hausdorff space. Let $f \in \mathcal{H}$, $\|f\| = 1$. (a) Then there is a unique Borel measure μ on X , and an isometry $V_f: L^2(\mu) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}$, such that*

$$V_f(1) = f, \quad (3.3)$$

$$V_f(\psi) = \rho(\psi)f, \quad \psi \in C(X), \quad (3.4)$$

and

$$V_f(L^2(\mu)) = \mathcal{H}_f. \quad (3.5)$$

(b) Let $f_i \in \mathcal{H}$, $\|f_i\| = 1$, $i = 1, 2$, and suppose $\mu_1 << \mu_2$. Setting $k = \frac{d\mu_1}{d\mu_2}$ where $\mu_i = \mu_{f_i}$, $i = 1, 2$, then $U\psi = \sqrt{k}\psi$ defines an isometry $U: L^2(\mu_1) \rightarrow L^2(\mu_2)$, and $W := V_{f_2}UV_{f_1}^*: \mathcal{H}_{f_1} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{f_2}$ is in the commutant of $\mathfrak{A}$.

Proof. Part (a) follows from the spectral theorem applied to abelian C^* -algebras, see e.g., [11]. To prove (b), let f_i be the two vectors in $\mathcal{H}$, and set $\mu_i = \mu_{f_i}$, i.e., the corresponding measures on X . Since $\mu_1 << \mu_2$, the Radon-Nikodym derivative $k := \frac{d\mu_1}{d\mu_2}$ is well defined. Clearly then

$$\|U\psi\|_{L^2(\mu_2)}^2 = \int_X |\psi|^2 k \, d\mu_2 = \int_X |\psi|^2 \, d\mu_1 = \|\psi\|_{L^2(\mu_1)}^2.$$

As a result $W := V_{f_2}UV_{f_1}^*$ is a well defined partial isometry in $\mathcal{H}$. For $\psi \in C(X)$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}W\rho(\psi) &= V_{f_2}UV_{f_1}^*\rho(\psi) \\ &= V_{f_2}UM_\psi V_{f_1}^* \\ &= V_{f_2}M_\psi UV_{f_1}^* \\ &= \rho(\psi)V_{f_2}UV_{f_1}^* \\ &= \rho(\psi)W,\end{aligned}$$

and we conclude that $W \in \mathfrak{A}'$. ■

Theorem 3.2 *Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $N \geq 2$; let $\mathcal{H}$ be a Hilbert space, and (S_i) a representation of $\mathcal{O}_N$ in $\mathcal{H}$. Let (X, d) be a compact metric space, and $(\sigma_i)_{0 \leq i < N}$ an iterated function system which is complete and non-overlapping. Let $P: \mathcal{B}(X) \rightarrow B(\mathcal{H})$ the corresponding projection valued measure. Suppose the von Neumann algebra $\mathfrak{A}$ generated by $\{S_\alpha S_\alpha^* \mid \alpha \in (\mathbb{Z}_N)^k\}$ is maximally abelian. For $f \in \mathcal{H}$, $\|f\| = 1$, set $\mu_f(\cdot) = \|P(\cdot)f\|^2$. Then the following two conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) *f is a cyclic vector for $\mathfrak{A}$.*
- (ii) *$\mu_f \circ \sigma_i^{-1} \ll \mu_f$, $i = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$.*

Proof. We first claim that

$$\mu_f \circ \sigma_i^{-1} = \mu_{S_i f}. \quad (3.6)$$

To see this, we apply (2.4) to $S_i f$. Then $\mu_{S_i f} = \sum_j \mu_{S_j^* S_i f} \circ \sigma_j^{-1} = \mu_f \circ \sigma_i^{-1}$ since $S_j^* S_i f = \delta_{i,j} f$. This is the desired identity (3.6).

Secondly, let $i \neq j$. then naturally $S_i f \perp S_j f$. But we claim that

$$\mathcal{H}_{S_i f} \perp \mathcal{H}_{S_j f}; \quad (3.7)$$

i.e., for all $A \in \mathfrak{A}$, $\langle S_i f \mid A S_j f \rangle = 0$. Since $\mathfrak{A}$ is generated by the projections $S_\alpha S_\alpha^*$, it is enough to show that $S_i^* S_\alpha S_\alpha^* S_j = 0$ for $\alpha = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_k)$. But $S_i^* S_\alpha S_\alpha^* S_j = \delta_{i, \alpha_1} \delta_{j, \alpha_1} S_{\alpha_2} \cdots S_{\alpha_k} S_{\alpha_k}^* \cdots S_{\alpha_2}^* = 0$ since $i \neq j$. The orthogonality relation (3.7) follows.

We first prove (i) $\implies$ (ii); in fact we prove that $\mu_g \ll \mu_f$ for all $g \in \mathcal{H}$, if f is assumed cyclic. If f is cyclic, and $g \in \mathcal{H}$, $\|g\| = 1$, then clearly $\mathcal{H}_g \subset \mathcal{H}_f$. By the argument in Lemma 3.1(b), we conclude that $W := V_f^* V_g: L^2(\mu_g) \rightarrow L^2(\mu_f)$ commutes with the multiplication operators. Setting $k := W(1)$, we have $\int_X |\psi|^2 d\mu_g = \int_X |W\psi|^2 d\mu_f = \int |\psi|^2 |k|^2 d\mu_f$, or equivalently, $d\mu_g = |k|^2 d\mu_f$. The conclusion $d\mu_g \ll d\mu_f$ follows, and $\frac{d\mu_g}{d\mu_f} = |k|^2$.

To prove (ii) $\implies$ (i); let $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}_N$, and suppose $i \neq j$. We saw that then $\mathcal{H}_{S_i f} \perp \mathcal{H}_{S_j f}$. Suppose f is not cyclic. Since by (3.6) $\mu_{S_i f} = \mu_f \circ \sigma_i^{-1}$, we get the two isometries $V_{S_i f}: L^2(\mu_f \circ \sigma_i) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_{S_i f}$ with orthogonal ranges. Let

$$k_i = \frac{d\mu_f \circ \sigma_i^{-1}}{d\mu_f}, \text{ and } k_j = \frac{d\mu_f \circ \sigma_j^{-1}}{d\mu_f}.$$

Set

$$U_i \psi = \psi \sqrt{k_i} \text{ and } U_j \psi = \psi \sqrt{k_j}.$$

Then the following operator

$$W := V_{S_j f} U_j^* U_i V_{S_i f}^* \quad (3.8)$$

is well defined. It is a partial isometry in $\mathcal{H}$ with initial space $\mathcal{H}_{S_i f}$ and final space $\mathcal{H}_{S_j f}$; i.e., $W^* W = \text{proj}(\mathcal{H}_{S_i f}) = p_i$, and $W W^* = \text{proj}(\mathcal{H}_{S_j f}) = p_j$.

By the lemma, W is in the commutant of $\mathfrak{A}$. But the two projections p_i and p_j are orthogonal by the lemma, i.e., $p_i p_j = 0$. Relative to the decomposition $p_i \mathcal{H} \oplus p_j \mathcal{H}$, we now consider the following two block matrix operators

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ W & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & W^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix};$$

and note that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ W & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & W^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & p_j \end{pmatrix},$$

while

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & W^* \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ W & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p_i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since the two non-commuting operators are in $\mathfrak{A}'$, it follows that $\mathfrak{A}'$ is non-abelian, and as a result that $\mathfrak{A}$ is not maximally abelian. ■

Two Examples: (a) Let $\mathcal{H} = L^2(\mathbb{T})$ where as usual $\mathbb{T}$ denotes the torus, equipped with Haar measure. Set $e_n(z) = z^n$, $z \in \mathbb{T}$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and define

$$\begin{cases} S_0 f(z) = f(z^2) \text{ for } f \in \mathcal{H}, \text{ and } z \in \mathbb{T} \\ S_1 f(z) = z f(z^2). \end{cases} \quad (3.9)$$

As noted in Section 1, this system is in $\text{Rep}(\mathcal{O}_2, \mathcal{H})$. By Theorem 2.2, there is a unique projection valued measure $P(\cdot)$ on $\mathcal{B}([0, 1])$ such that

$$P\left(\left[\frac{\alpha_1}{2} + \cdots + \frac{\alpha_k}{2^k}, \frac{\alpha_1}{2} + \cdots + \frac{\alpha_k}{2^k} + \frac{1}{2^k}\right)\right) = S_\alpha S_\alpha^* \quad (3.10)$$

where $S_\alpha = S_{\alpha_1} \cdots S_{\alpha_k}$.

It is easy to check that the range of the projection $S_\alpha S_\alpha^*$ is the closed subspace in $\mathcal{H}$ spanned by

$$\{e_n \mid n = \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \cdots + 2^{k-1}\alpha_k + 2^k p, p \in \mathbb{Z}\},$$

and it follows that

$$S_\alpha S_\alpha^* e_0 = \begin{cases} e_0 & \text{if } \alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = \cdots = \alpha_k = 0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Hence

$$\mathcal{H}_{e_0} = [\mathfrak{A}_{e_0}] = \mathbb{C}e_0$$

is one-dimensional, and

$$\mu_{e_0}(\cdot) = \|P(\cdot)e_0\|^2 = \delta_0$$

where δ_0 is the Dirac measure on $[0, 1)$ at $x = 0$. With the IFS $\sigma_0(x) = \frac{x}{2}$, $\sigma_1(x) = \frac{x+1}{2}$ on the unit-interval, we get

$$\begin{cases} \mu_{e_0} \circ \sigma_0^{-1} = \delta_0 \\ \mu_{e_0} \circ \sigma_1^{-1} = \delta_{\frac{1}{2}} \end{cases} \quad (3.11)$$

making it clear that condition (ii) in Theorem 3.2 is not satisfied.

(b) We now modify (3.9) as follows:

Set

$$\begin{cases} S_0 f(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1+z)f(z^2) \text{ for } f \in L^2(\mathbb{T}), \text{ and } z \in \mathbb{T} \\ S_1 f(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(1-z)f(z^2). \end{cases} \quad (3.12)$$

This system (S_i) is in $\text{Rep}(\mathcal{O}_2, L^2(\mathbb{T}))$, and $\mu_{e_0}(\cdot) = \|P(\cdot)e_0\|^2 = \text{Lebesgue measure } dt \text{ on } [0, 1)$, where $P(\cdot)$ is again determined by (3.10). This is the representation of O_2 which corresponds to the usual Haar wavelet, i.e., to

$$\psi(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \leq x < \frac{1}{2} \\ -1 & \text{if } \frac{1}{2} \leq x < 1 \end{cases} \quad (3.13)$$

and

$$\psi_{j,k}(x) = 2^{\frac{j}{2}} \psi(2^j x - k) \text{ for } j, k \in \mathbb{Z} \quad (3.14)$$

is then the standard Haar basis for $L^2(\mathbb{R})$; compare this with (3.2). For this representation $\mathfrak{A}$ can be checked to be maximally abelian, but it also follows from the theorem, since now the analog of (3.11) is

$$\begin{cases} \mu_{e_0} \circ \sigma_0^{-1} = 2dt \text{ restricted to } [0, \frac{1}{2}) \\ \mu_{e_0} \circ \sigma_1^{-1} = 2dt \text{ restricted to } [\frac{1}{2}, 1). \end{cases}$$

Since $\mu_{e_0} = dt$ restricted to $[0, 1)$, it is clear that now condition (ii) in Theorem 3.2 is satisfied.

4 Concluding Remarks

In the main section of the paper, we saw how certain special representations of the Cuntz algebras in Hilbert space serve as a tool in generating orthonormal bases (ONBs) in the context of wavelets, and more generally for iterated function systems (IFS).

However in a number of problems, an ONB may not be readily available, but the best one could hope for would be a system of vectors which form a *frame*.

Of course, an orthonormal basis (b_j) , j in some index set, for a Hilbert space is a special case of a frame.

To understand this generalization, begin with Parseval's familiar identity valid for every ONB:

$$\|x\|^2 = \sum_j |\langle b_j, x \rangle|^2. \quad (4.1)$$

If the vectors (b_j) satisfy (4.1), they are said to form a *tight frame*, or a *Parseval frame*. But they needn't be an ONB.

You might well have strict inequality $\|b_j\| < 1$. And the resulting redundancy will smear out orthogonality.

If instead of (4.1), you have only estimates, with two positive constants A , and B : The term $A\|x\|^2$ as lower bound, and $B\|x\|^2$ as upper bound for $\sum_j |\langle b_j, x \rangle|^2$ in (4.1), then you talk about a *general frame* with A and B as frame bounds.

It is easy to rewrite all of this in terms of dilations: Frames (b_j) in a Hilbert space H are all of the form $b_j = T(c_j)$ where (c_j) is an ONB in an expanded Hilbert space K , and $T: K \rightarrow H$ is a bounded invertible operator. The Parseval frames, for example correspond to the case when T is a projection of K onto H .

The significance of this dilation construction is apparent in the study of *super wavelets*, as presented in [1]. But since the representations of Cuntz's $\mathcal{O}_n$ naturally yield bases of the ONB kind with n -fold scaling, one will expect different and less restrictive operator relations corresponding to frames. Indeed, this structure is captured beautifully in Popescu's dilation construction from operator theory [12]. A system of operators $V_i, i = 1, \dots, n$, satisfying this condition $\sum_i V_i V_i^* = I_H$ in a Hilbert space H can be used to generate a frame basis in H with n -fold scaling precisely when it arises as a *compression* of a representation (S_i) of Cuntz's $\mathcal{O}_n$. If P denotes the projection of K onto H , we say that (V_i) is a compression of the Cuntz system (S_i) iff $PS_i = PS_iP = V_i$. Popescu's theorem amounts to the existence and uniqueness up to unitary equivalence of dilations to representations of Cuntz's relations.

References

- [1] Stefan Bildea; Dorin E. Dutkay; Gabriel Picioroaga, MRA super-wavelets. *New York J. Math.* 11 (2005), 1–19.
- [2] Patrick Billingsley, Weak convergence of measures: Applications in Probability. CBMS, vol. 5, SIAM Philadelphia, 1971.
- [3] O. Bratteli, P. Jorgensen, "Wavelets Through A Looking Glass", Birkhäuser, 2002.
- [4] R. R. Coifman, Y. Meyer, and V. Wickerhauser, *Numerical harmonic analysis*, Essays on Fourier Analysis in Honor of Elias M. Stein (Princeton, 1991) (C. Fefferman, R. Fefferman, and S. Wainger, eds.), Princeton Mathematical Series, vol. 42, Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 1995, pp. 162–174.
- [5] J. Cuntz, Simple C^* -algebras generated by isometries, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **57** (1977), 173–185.
- [6] Dorin E. Dutkay; Some equations relating multiwavelets and multiscaling functions, *J. Funct. Anal.* **226** (2005) 1–20.

- [7] J. E. Hutchinson, Fractals and self-similarity, *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* **30** (1981), 713–747.
- [8] Palle E. T. Jorgensen, Minimality of the data in wavelet filters, *Advances in Math* **159** (2001) 143–228.
- [9] P. E. T. Jorgensen, Measures in wavelet decompositions, preprint, University of Iowa, 2003.
- [10] P. E. T. Jorgensen, Matrix factorizations, algorithms, wavelets, *Notices of the Amer. Math. Soc.* **50** (2003) 880–984.
- [11] Edward Nelson, "Topics in Dynamics I: Flows", Princeton University Press, 1970.
- [12] Gelu Popescu, Isometric dilations for infinite sequences of noncommuting operators. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **316** (1989), 523–536.